

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

LINDA PATTERSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

NANCY A. BERRYHILL,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:18-cv-01951-APG-PAL

SCHEDULING ORDER

This case involves judicial review of an administrative action by the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration denying Plaintiff's claim for benefits under the Social Security Act. Plaintiff filed an Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (ECF No. 1), and the Court screened the Complaint (ECF No. 4) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. The Commissioner filed an Answer (ECF No. 8) on February 5, 2018, along with a certified copy of the administrative record. No additional motions or pleadings have been filed.

The court recognizes that many of these cases have a number of factors in common:

1. Such cases rarely, if ever, require any proceedings in the nature of a trial. Instead, these cases are usually resolved by cross-motions to reverse or remand and to affirm the Commissioner's decision.

2. Sometimes the plaintiff submits new medical reports to the court in support of a request for remand at such a late date in the proceedings as to cause an unnecessary and undesirable delay in the rendering of a decision by the court.

3. The transcript of the evidence adduced at the administrative hearing frequently contains the words "inaudible" or "illegible" in some places, and the administrative record sometimes contains documents which are illegible. These parts of the administrative record may

1 or may not relate to the question of whether the Commissioner's decision is supported by
2 substantial evidence.

3 **THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED:**

4 1. Defendant shall file an electronic courtesy copy of the administrative record, under
5 seal, in CM/ECF no later than **February 20, 2019**. The courtesy copy shall be filed in a searchable
6 PDF format with each exhibit linked separately and Optical Character Recognition performed.

7 2. In the event Plaintiff intends to request a remand of this case on the basis of new
8 medical evidence, Plaintiff shall file a motion to remand in this court based on new medical
9 evidence no later than **March 8, 2019**, with a copy of the evidence attached to the motion, and
10 shall serve a copy of the motion and medical evidence on the United States Attorney for the District
11 of Nevada, 501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89101.

12 3. In the event Plaintiff serves a motion for remand on the basis of new medical
13 evidence on Defendant, Defendant shall have until **April 8, 2019**, to file either a notice of voluntary
14 remand of the case or points and authorities in opposition to Plaintiff's motion. Plaintiff may file
15 a reply to the Defendant's opposition no later than **April 29, 2019**.

16 4. If Plaintiff seeks remand for consideration of new medical evidence, the motion
17 shall include a statement of reasons why the new evidence was not incorporated into the record at
18 an earlier stage. Under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), remand for consideration of new evidence will not be
19 granted unless the evidence is new and material, and there is a showing of good cause for failure
20 to incorporate the evidence into the record at an earlier stage.

21 5. In the event Plaintiff does not file a motion to remand on the basis of new medical
22 evidence, Plaintiff shall file a motion for reversal and/or remand no later than **March 8, 2019**.

23 6. Whenever Plaintiff files a motion for reversal and/or remand, which includes issues
24 based on the administrative record, Plaintiff's motion shall include:

25 (a) A specification of each and every condition or ailment, or combination
26 thereof, that allegedly renders Plaintiff disabled and is allegedly supported by evidence in
27 the administrative record.
28

1 (b) A complete summary of all medical evidence in the record that supports
2 Plaintiff's claim of disability due to each condition or ailment specified in subparagraph
3 5(a) above, with precise references to the applicable portions of the record. This summary
4 shall not include medical evidence unrelated to the conditions or ailments upon which
5 Plaintiff's claim(s) of disability are based. It shall be sufficient compliance with this
6 subparagraph if Plaintiff stipulates that the Administrative Law Judge fairly and accurately
7 summarized the medical evidence in the administrative record.

8 (c) A complete summary of all other evidence adduced at the administrative
9 hearing that supports Plaintiff's claim of disability due to each condition or ailment
10 specified in subparagraph 5(a) above, with precise references to the applicable portions of
11 the record. It shall be sufficient compliance with this subparagraph if Plaintiff stipulates
12 that the Administrative Law Judge fairly and accurately summarized the medical evidence
13 in the administrative record.

14 (d) With respect to each condition or ailment specified in subparagraph 5(a)
15 above, a complete but concise statement as to why the record does not contain substantial
16 evidence to support Defendant's conclusion that Plaintiff is not disabled by each such
17 condition or ailment, or combination thereof.

18 7. If Defendant has not filed a notice of voluntary remand, and the issues in question
19 relate to the administrative record, Defendant shall file a cross-motion to affirm no later than **April**
20 **8, 2019**, which will be considered an opposition to Plaintiff's motion. This motion shall include:

21 (a) With respect to each disabling condition or ailment specified by Plaintiff, a
22 complete summary of all medical evidence in the record that Defendant contends
23 constitutes substantial evidence to support the administrative determination that Plaintiff is
24 not disabled due to such condition, ailment, or combination thereof. This summary shall
25 not include medical evidence upon which Plaintiff's claim(s) of disability are based. It
26 shall be sufficient compliance with this subparagraph if Defendant stipulates that the
27 Administrative Law Judge fairly and accurately summarized the medical evidence
28 contained in the record.

1 (b) With respect to each disabling condition or ailment specified by Plaintiff, a
2 complete summary of all testimony adduced at the administrative hearing, including the
3 Administrative Law Judge's findings, if any, concerning the credibility of witnesses, which
4 Defendant contends constitutes substantial evidence to support the administrative
5 determination that Plaintiff is not disabled due to such condition or ailment, or combination
6 thereof. It shall be sufficient compliance with this subparagraph if Defendant stipulates
7 that the Administrative Law Judge fairly and accurately summarized the testimony adduced
8 at the administrative hearing.

9 (c) A statement as to whether there are any inaccuracies in the summaries filed
10 by Plaintiff in response to paragraphs 5(b) and 5(c) of this Order. If Defendant believes
11 Plaintiff's summaries are inaccurate, Defendant shall set forth what additions or correction
12 are required (with appropriate references to the record) in order to make the summaries
13 accurate.

14 (d) The lay definitions of all medical terms contained in the record necessary
15 to be understood in order to determine whether Defendant's decision is supported by
16 substantial evidence.

17 8. The motions filed by Plaintiff and Defendant pursuant to paragraphs 5 and 6 of this
18 Order, respectively, shall also contain appropriate points and authorities dealing with the specific
19 legal issues involved in this case, rather than principles of law applicable to Social Security cases
20 in general.

21 9. Plaintiff shall be deemed to have acceded to the accuracy of the summaries supplied
22 by Defendant in response to subparagraphs 6(a) and 6(b) of this Order, unless within twenty days
23 after being served with Defendant's cross-motion to affirm, Plaintiff files and serves a document
24 setting forth:

25 (a) In what manner the summaries are inaccurate;

26 (b) What additions or corrections are required (with appropriate references to
27 the record) in order to make the summaries accurate; and/or
28

1 (c) Any definitions of the medical terms that Plaintiff contends are more
2 accurate than the definitions supplied by Defendant.

3 10. The motions filed by both Plaintiff and Defendant shall also contain the following:


4 (a) A statement as to whether the transcript of the administrative hearing can
5 be adequately understood despite the fact that it might contain the words “inaudible” or
6 “unintelligible” in one or more places, and specifying each page, if any, in which testimony
7 relating to the particular issues of this case cannot be adequately understood.

8 (b) A specification of each page in the administrative record that is partially or
9 totally illegible, and a statement whether each such illegible page contains information relevant to
10 an understanding of any issue presented in this case.

11 11. Oral argument shall be deemed waived, and the case shall stand submitted unless
12 argument is ordered by the court or requested, pursuant to Local Rule 78-2, by one of the parties
13 no later than **April 15, 2019**. Even if one or both of the parties requests oral argument, the final
14 decision as to whether oral argument is warranted remains with the court.

15 12. Failure of a party to file a motion or points and authorities required by this Order
16 may result in dismissal of the action or reversal of the decision of the Commissioner of Social
17 Security as may be appropriate.

18 Dated this 7th day of February, 2019.

19
20 
21 PEGGY A. LEEN
22 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23
24
25
26
27
28